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THE BOTTOM LINE

The Nucleus Research Technology Value Matrices are published at least once a year in Nucleus’s core research areas. Through the analysis of end-user experiences, vendors are evaluated on both usability and functionality – key drivers of value – and placed into four categories: Leaders, Experts, Facilitators, and Core Providers. Customers can use the Matrices to evaluate vendor short lists as well as to make the case for maintaining existing applications. The Matrix is designed to be a complement to Gartner’s Magic Quadrant by providing a view of the relative value of competing solutions that is aligned with the buying cycle.

***

THE TECHNOLOGY VALUE MATRIX

Nucleus Research regularly publishes Value Matrices in its core research areas, and has scheduled 14 Matrices for publication in 2017 in the areas of customer relationship management (CRM), human capital management (HCM), data management, enterprise content management (ECM), marketing automation, enterprise resource planning (ERP), and supply chain management (SCM). Each Matrix is divided into four quadrants: Leaders, Experts, Facilitators, and Core Providers. It is important to note that there is no “bad” quadrant in the Value Matrix, just as there is no “best” technology solution for all companies. For example, a company with limited budget and a sales cycle with low complexity may achieve the most value from a Core Provider in CRM; a Facilitator is often the perfect match for a company where broad, rapid, and consistent user adoption is more important than industry-specific or other more sophisticated functionality.
LEADERS
Vendors in the Leaders quadrant have invested in both functionality and usability features that are likely to deliver the greatest potential returns. These vendors have both diverse and comprehensive product offerings, which enable organizations to maximize ROI, and the resources to help organizations deploy large-scale applications.

With high functionality and usability scores, these vendors are recognized for relatively advanced capabilities across all core application areas, user interaction methods, and other factors that contribute to quicker deployment and user adoption. Leaders are continually developing and investing in new functionalities to respond to market and user expectations.

EXPERTS
Vendors in the Experts quadrant have invested in deep functional capabilities that, by nature, make the application more complex and thus require more training and expertise to use than Leaders. These vendors invest in industry-specific capabilities to provide best-of-breed functionality in specific application areas for unique market verticals. They have invested in robust and complex functionalities that typically require additional consulting, training, or expertise resources when compared to Leaders. Because the functionality provided can be more advanced than is commonly available in the general market, there may be fewer analysts and developers who are sufficiently experienced to support these applications.

It is important to note that there is no “bad” quadrant in the Value Matrix, just as there is no “best” technology solution for all companies.

FACILITATORS
Facilitators are focused on providing solutions that solve a specific niche in the market and are relatively easy to use and quick to implement. Vendors in the Facilitators quadrant have invested in making their applications intuitive and easy to use, driving rapid adoption with limited training requirements. For example, these applications can achieve high levels of usability related to specific role-based interfaces and improved end-user productivity. However, these applications may also lack the deeper functionality required by more sophisticated users.

CORE PROVIDERS
Vendors in the Core Providers quadrant are point solutions or those that provide limited functionality at a relatively low cost, giving them a high value proposition
when limited functionality is needed. These vendors often represent a good starting point for companies seeking core capabilities with a limited budget because they enable faster and less expensive adoption.

These vendors have an opportunity to move upward and to the right as they improve their ability to deliver broadly functional and highly usable deployments while challenging the competitive positions of the leaders. However, Core Providers are also challenged to win customers and fund research and development to keep them from being overtaken by leaders that can afford discounting and special attention to win deals.

**METHODOLOGY**

The Value Matrix is based on functionality and usability, the two characteristics that Nucleus has found indicate an application’s ability to deliver both initial return on investment (ROI) and, ultimately, maximum value over time.

The functionality and usability scores are based on interviews Nucleus analysts have had with end users as part of their ongoing research and end-user TechAdvisor inquiries. Through these conversations, analysts gather data on both the applications customers select as well as the applications they shortlisted but did not select and why.

Usability composite scores are based on a number of factors including the modernity of the interface, intuitiveness of the application, availability of role-based views and in-application coaching and training, training requirements, mobile access, and the impact on user productivity.

Functionality composite scores are based on the breadth and depth of functionality in the core application, the availability of industry-specific functionality in key verticals, the availability and ease of integration of add-on functionality that delivers additional benefit, and the vendors’ investment in innovative functionality outside the core that delivers additional benefit.

The center point of the Matrix represents the composite average point in the market, which Nucleus expects to move up and to the right over time as vendors make more investments in functionality and usability. At each publication of the Matrix, the center point is effectively reset at the average – meaning that vendors that have not maintained pace with the market in either functionality or usability will naturally fall down and to the left. Trending arrows are included to show where
Nucleus expects each solution will appear in the next edition of the Matrix, based on vendor investments and roadmaps and customers’ expectations.

At each publication of the Matrix, the center point is effectively reset at the average – meaning that vendors that have not maintained pace with the market in either functionality or usability will naturally fall down and to the left.

Measuring usability and functionality is subjective but we’ve minimized the variation and standardized the process we use to gather data. Behind the scenes we score each solution on a scale of 1-10 for each axis, rebalance the average so that 5,5 is the center of the Matrix, then plot each solution on the axis. The score is based on data gathered from three sources, in this order:

- Customers using the solution who are interviewed during case studies, industry events, user inquiry, or outreach to our database of end users.
- Customers using a competing solution that considered the solution being scored but decided against it.
- Nucleus Research analyst view of the solution based on briefings and demos of the product.

USING THE MATRIX

Companies can use the Value Matrix to assess their investment short list as well as to evaluate the case for maintaining an existing product that may lag behind the value offered by other options. In the case of cloud applications, they can also use the Matrix to evaluate their current and future pricing with respect to the expected value the application will deliver in the near future for subscription renewal negotiations.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

WHEN DID NUCLEUS START PUBLISHING THE VALUE MATRICES, AND WHY?

Nucleus began publishing the Value Matrix in key application areas in 2013, and has continued to expand its Value Matrix coverage based on customer demand.
Gartner’s Magic Quadrant has been a fixture in the technology space for years and has served the market well by providing a comparative shortlist of vendors in a specific market. However, in the past few years a number of things have changed:

- The emergence of sophisticated cloud applications and broader availability of low-cost, high-value solutions from emerging vendors meant Gartner’s annual ranking of vendors was not fully serving a market that faced accelerated technology change. Nucleus end-user customers were increasingly asking for justification for applications that were not in the Gartner leader quadrant but provided higher value than the traditional large vendors.

- The buying process has evolved from companies predominantly selecting a leading vendor to companies seeking solutions that are best for their specific users’ needs.

- The cloud subscription renewal process and the cloud application upgrade process requires companies to reevaluate not just vendor leadership but value for money on an annual basis at subscription renewal time.

Nucleus designed the Value Matrix to complement the Gartner Magic Quadrant by looking at the characteristics of a solution that drive value (usability and functionality), and projecting how that relative value will increase or decrease in the near future.

HOW IS THE VALUE MATRIX DIFFERENT FROM THE MAGIC QUADRANT?

The Nucleus Value Matrix differs from the Gartner Magic Quadrant in three important ways:

- The Value Matrix is a relative ranking against competitors rather than an absolute ranking. This matches the user buying process of comparing the features and usability among the vendors under consideration against the cost of the solution. A solution with less functionality but much lower cost may be more attractive than a solution with more functionality but much greater cost.

- The Value Matrix is updated on an ongoing basis according to the pace of the market and shows trending. The pace of market change is rapid and vendors who lead the market today can fall behind in months. An industry-leading feature is quickly adopted and becomes common. The Value Matrix takes account of this ongoing change and highlights vendors whose solutions remain dynamic.
The Value Matrix is based on the relative ROI or value customers can expect to achieve from the solution based on two factors: usability and functionality, as evaluated by Nucleus analysts and the customers they interview.

WHY USABILITY AND FUNCTIONALITY AS THE AXES?
We looked back on more than 400 published ROI case studies and analyzed the characteristics of solutions that deliver value. How functional a product is and how easy it is to adopt and use were the two characteristics that defined the value the customer ultimately received.

HOW DO VENDORS INFLUENCE THEIR POSITION?
All vendors are notified of their inclusion in the Value Matrix and have the opportunity to provide feedback and input to both their profiles and their positioning in the Matrix. Vendors fare best when they can make the case with tangible examples of how their solution delivers greater functionality and usability than their competitors.

Vendors that have more regular interactions with the analyst team will likely have a fuller understanding of their positioning, but all vendors are treated equally and given an equal amount of time to provide input. A vendor does not need to be a client, nor do they need to provide reference customers or other information to be included in the Value Matrix.

CAN A VENDOR OPT OUT OF INCLUSION IN THE MATRIX?
No. The Value Matrix is Nucleus’s assessment of all the viable options in the marketplace and, as such, is published with or without input from the vendor. This is necessary to provide end-user clients with a complete view of the value proposition of market players.

HOW DO I KNOW WHEN THE VALUE MATRIX WILL BE PUBLISHED?
Nucleus publishes its planned Value Matrix agenda annually, and all vendors that are included are notified in advance of their opportunity to review.